

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States of America,
Plaintiff,
v.
Choon Foo Young aka Keith Yong,
Defendant.

No. 2:22-CR-00053-KJM-1

United States of America,
Plaintiff,
v.
William D. Opp,
Defendant.

No. 2:22-CR-00144-KJM-1

United States of America,
Plaintiff,
v.
Bill R. Miller,
Defendant.

No. 2:22-CR-00206-TLN-1

RELATED CASE ORDER

1 Examination of the above-captioned actions reveals that they are related within the
2 meaning of Local Rule 123(a). Here, the “actions involve similar questions of fact and the same
3 question of law and their assignment to the same Judge or Magistrate Judge is likely to effect a
4 substantial savings of judicial effort.” Local Rule 123(a)(3). Accordingly, the assignment of
5 these matters to the same judge is likely to effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is
6 likely to be convenient for the parties.


7 The parties should be aware that relating cases under Rule 123 causes the actions
8 to be assigned to the same judge – it does not consolidate the actions. Under Rule 123, related
9 cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was
10 assigned.

11 As a result, it is hereby ORDERED that No. 2:22-CR-00206-TLN-1 is reassigned
12 from Hon. Troy L. Nunley to the undersigned. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the
13 reassigned case shall be shown as: No. 2:22-CR-00206-KJM-1.

14 It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in
15 the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 DATED: October 18, 2022

18
19 
20 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28